A Higher Value U.S. Cancer Care System:

The Opportunity for Bundled Payments

Ezekiel Emanuel, M.D., Ph.D. Center for American Progress University of Pennsylvania

Framing the conversation

- > Issues with the current U.S. cancer care system
- ➤ Is payment reform for cancer desirable?
- > If so, why bundles?
- > Are bundled payments for cancer doable?

Issues with the current U.S. cancer care system

FFS misaligns incentives → High, unsustainable costs

- •Direct medical costs of cancer = 5% of all health care spending
- •Projected to reach **\$184 B** by 2020

FFS misaligns incentives → Inappropriate use of services

- •Some services overused (imaging, genetic testing, preventable ER use, aggressive chemo near EOL)
- •Some services underused (genetic testing, care coordination, palliative care, shared decision making)

Inconsistent quality of care

Increasing disease prevalence

•Incidence of cancer expected to rise **45**% from 2010 – 2030

Is payment reform for cancer desirable?

YES.

- Realign incentives and increase care efficiencies
- Improve care coordination & quality
- Chronic disease bundle paradigm

Why bundles for oncology?

- Realign incentives
- > Available evidence-based guidelines
- > Flexibility in implementation
 - >Opportunities for providers and plans of various sizes and capacities

Are bundled payments for cancer doable?

YES.

Current bundled oncology payments: More data needed

A call to action

CAP Consortium



Charting a New Course for a System in Crisis





- Providers
- Patient groups
- Public & private payers
- Policy makers

CAP Consortium

- Begin with high prevalence cancers
 - Metastatic NSCLC; Adjuvant & Metastatic Colon Cancer
- Standardized set of meaningful quality measures across plans & providers
- Assist in designing multi-payer demonstration

Developing a framework

- > What measures should define the bundle?
- > What services are included in each episode?
- > Who's included in the bundle?
- > Length of the episode

Standardized quality measures

	Cross- cutting	Disease- specific
Adjuvant disease	11	1
Metastatic disease	9	2
End of life	10	O

Examples of standardized measures – adjuvant disease

- OS (1, 3, 5 yrs) & DFS (1, 3, 5 yrs)
- Discuss chemo intent and patient's treatment goals before initiation of any new line of therapy (also for metastatic patients)
- Initiate chemo w/in 8 weeks of resection
- Delivered dose intensity
- % of patients with an inpatient admission associated w/treatment-related complications (also for metastatic patients)

Examples of standardized measures – metastatic disease

- % of patients who receive molecular testing prior to firstline treatment (lung cancer)
- Failure to provide genetic counseling for newly diagnosed patients (colon cancer)
- Chemotherapy for patients with $ECOG \leq 3$ (lower is better)
- Systematic assessment of patient symptoms at each visit using PRO tool (also for adjuvant patients)
- % of patients with advanced care plan (also for adjuvant patients)

Examples of standardized measures – end of life

- Chemo within 30 days of death (lower is better)
- ICU admission, hospitalization within 30 days of death (lower is better)
- % of patients who died in hospital (lower is better)
- Systematic assessment of patient symptoms at each visit using PRO tool (also for adjuvant patients)
- % of patients with advanced care plan (also for adjuvant patients)

Broad payment principles

- Episode should be based on total cost of care
- Oncologist is the accountable provider
- Transition from retrospective to prospective payment with two-sided risk

Next steps for consortium

- > Publish recommended model and guiding principles
- Encourage wide adoption of this model by public and private payers and providers
- Track pilots and refine model as needed; disseminate best practices